
Advancing Methods and Mathematical Models of Perceptual Decision Making



by

Gabriel Tillman

B.Psych (Hons I)

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Psychology - Science)

October 30, 2016

Declaration of Authorship

- The thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository**, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. **Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period.
- I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis has been done in collaboration with other researchers, or carried out in other institutions. I have included as part of the thesis a statement clearly outlining the extent of collaboration, with whom and under what auspices.
- I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis contains published papers scholarly work of which I am a joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written statement, endorsed by my supervisor, attesting to my contribution to the joint publications scholarly work.

Signed:

Acknowledgements

Where would I be without Don, Andrew, and Scott who made me the researcher I am today. I thank Ami who was always there to provide solid advice. And Adam for his enthusiasm and interesting pitches for future work. It was fun working with students in the lab who were always there to answers my questions, ask their own, and devise novel ways to procrastinate from work. All my collaborators taught me how to think critically and question everything. I am truly thankful for the support from all these people. To my wife Lucy, who has been caring, supportive, patient, thoughtful and loving, I give my deepest thanks.

List of Publications

This thesis is based on the following published/submitted work. For each paper I provide the full bibliographic citations in the order they appear in the thesis:

1. Tillman, G., Strayer, D., Eidels, A., & Heathcote, A. (Under Review). Modeling Cognitive Load Effects of Conversation Between a Passenger and Driver. Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics.
2. Tillman, G., Benders, T., Brown, S. D., & van Ravenzwaaij, D. (Under Review). An Evidence Accumulation Model of Acoustic Cue Weighting in Vowel Perception. Journal of Phonetics
3. Tillman, G., Osth, A., van Ravenzwaaij, D., & Heathcote, A. (Under Review). A Diffusion Decision Model Analysis of Evidence Variability in the Lexical Decision Task. Psychonomic bulletin & Review
4. Tillman, G., Eidels, A., & Finkbeiner, M. (2016). A Reach-To-Touch Investigation on the Nature of Reading in the Stroop Task. Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics.

Statement of Contribution

Statement of Contribution

I attest that Research Higher Degree candidate Gabriel Tillman led the manuscripts included in this thesis. Gabriel Tillman made major contributions to each manuscript including, coordinating and supervising data collection, completing all data analyses and model fitting, and served as lead author for manuscript preparation.

Name: Don van Ravenzwaaij

Name: Andrew Heathcote

Signed:

Signed:

Name: Scott Brown

Name: Ami Eidels

Signed:

Signed:

Name: Adam Osth

Name: Titia Benders

Signed:

Signed:

Name: Matthew Finkbeiner

Name: David Strayer

Signed:

Signed:

Additional Work

Listed are additional publications and presentations that have relevance to the thesis, but are not included in it:

Invited Presentations

1. Tillman, G (2016, August). Sequential Sampling Models of Perceptual Decision Making. Invited Talk presented for the Department of Linguistics, Macquarie University, Australia.
2. Tillman, G (2016, July). Advancing Cognitive Models of Perceptual Decision Making. Invited Talk presented for the Department of Psychology, Vanderbilt University, United States.
3. Tillman, G (2014, December). How Do Our Past Decisions Affect Our Present Decisions? Invited Talk presented for the University of Newcastle Cognitive Research Group, University of Newcastle, Australia.

Conference Presentations

1. Tillman, G. & Osth, A. (2016, February). Diffusion modeling reveals evidence for unequal variance signal detection models of the lexical decision task. Talk presented at the annual meeting of the Australian Mathematical Psychology Conference (AMPC), University of Tasmania, Australia.

-
2. Freeman, E., Tillman, G. & Osth, A. (2015, November). Recognition memory for familiar and unfamiliar items: Links between encoding and retrieval differences. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Chicago, United States.
 3. Tillman, G. & Osth, A. (2015, November). Unequal Variance of Drift Rate Distributions in the Lexical Decision Task. Poster presented at the Computational Approaches to Cognition Symposium, Chicago, United States.
 4. Tillman, G., Benders, T., Brown, S. D., & van Ravenzwaaij, D. (2015, November). Determining the Role of Spectral and Duration Cues in Vowel Perception. Talk presented at the annual meeting of the Configural Processing Consortium, Chicago, United States.
 5. Tillman, G., Benders, T., Brown, S. D., & van Ravenzwaaij, D. (2015, April). Determining the Role of Spectral and Duration Cues in Vowel Perception. Talk presented at the annual meeting of the Australasian Society for Experimental Psychology, University of Sydney, Australia.
 6. Tillman, G. & van Ravenzwaaij, D. (2015, February). Are Conclusions from Sequential Sampling Models Reliable? Talk presented at the annual meeting of the Australian Mathematical Psychology Conference (AMPC), Newcastle, Australia.
 7. Tillman, G., Benders, T., Brown, S. D., & van Ravenzwaaij, D. (2014, November). A response time model on the role of spectral and duration cues in vowel perception. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Long Beach California, United States.

-
8. Tillman, G., Eidels, A., & Finkbeiner, M. (2014, July). Is Reading Mandatory? Reaching for Evidence in the Stroop Paradigm. Poster presented at the annual conference of the Cognitive Science Society, Quebec City, Canada.
 9. Tillman, G., Eidels, A., & Finkbeiner, M. (2014, April). A Reach- To-Touch Investigation on the Nature of Reading in the Stroop Task. Talk presented at the annual meeting of the Australasian Society for Experimental Psychology, Brisbane, Australia.
 10. Eidels, A., Williams, P., & Tillman, G. (2014, February). Serial-parallel model mimicry: the case for bimodality. Talk presented at the annual meeting of the Australian Mathematical Psychology Conference (AMPC), Canberra, Australia.
 11. Tillman, G., Eidels, A., & Finkbeiner, M. (2013, December). Is Reading Mandatory? Reaching for Evidence in the Stroop Paradigm. Poster presented at the Priority Research Centre for Translational Neuroscience and Mental Health? Sixth Annual Postgraduate and Postdoctoral Conference, Newcastle, Australia.

Contents

Declaration of Authorship	i
Acknowledgements	ii
List of Publications	iii
Statement of Contribution	iv
Additional Work	v
Contents	viii
Abstract	xi
Dedication	xii
1 Methods and Models of Perceptual Decision Making	1
1.1 Sequential Sampling Models	4
1.2 Estimating Parameters: A Bayesian Approach	7
1.3 Model Comparison	9
1.4 The Key Contributions	11
2 A Simple Response Time Model of Cognitive Load Effects	13
2.1 Introduction	14
2.1.1 Modeling the Detection Response Task	16
2.1.2 The Cognitive Load Effects of Conversation	19
2.2 Method	22
2.2.1 Participants	22
2.2.2 Stimuli and Design.	22
2.2.3 Procedure	23
2.3 Mean RT Analysis	24

2.4	Model-Based Analysis	26
2.5	General Discussion	29
3	A Linear Ballistic Model of Vowel Perception	33
3.1	Introduction	34
3.1.1	The Linear Ballistic Accumulator	39
3.1.2	Measuring Cognitive Processes From Behavioral Data	40
3.1.3	The Current Study	43
3.2	Method	45
3.2.1	Participants	45
3.2.2	Materials	45
3.2.3	Procedure	47
3.2.4	Behavioral Data Analysis	47
3.2.5	Linear Ballistic Accumulator Analysis	50
3.3	Results	55
3.3.1	Behavioral Data Results	55
3.3.2	Linear Ballistic Accumulator Results	60
3.4	Discussion	62
3.4.1	What Precedes an Evidence Accumulation Process?	65
3.4.2	Future Directions	66
4	Extending the Diffusion Model of Lexical Decision	70
4.1	Introduction	71
4.1.1	The Diffusion Decision Model	72
4.1.2	From Signal Detection to Diffusion Decision Models of Evidence Variability	74
4.1.3	Retrieving Effectively from Memory – Lexical Decision	76
4.1.4	The Present Study	79
4.2	DDM Analysis	79
4.2.1	Data Sets	79
4.2.2	Model Selection	81
4.2.3	Model Fit	82
4.2.4	Drift Rate Parameters	83
4.3	General Discussion	85
5	Comparing Prominent Sequential Sampling Models	88
5.1	Increasing Overall Drift Rate	90
5.2	Estimating Non-Decision Time	95
5.3	Discussion	98
6	A Novel Measure of Cognition Applied to the Stroop Task	100
6.1	Introduction	101
6.1.1	Delta Plots of Stroop Data	102
6.1.2	Reach-To-Touch Paradigm	105
6.1.3	The Forced-Reading Stroop Task	107
6.1.4	The Current Study	108

6.2	Method	109
6.2.1	Participants	109
6.2.2	Apparatus	110
6.2.3	Stimuli	111
6.2.4	Design and Procedure	112
6.2.5	Data Analysis	114
6.3	Results	116
6.3.1	Accuracy	116
6.3.2	Linear-Mixed Effects Analysis	117
6.4	Discussion	119
6.4.1	Validating Findings from Delta Plots and Forced-reading . . .	121
6.4.2	Theoretical Implications	123
6.4.3	Conclusions	125
7	General Conclusions	127
7.1	Summary of Results	128
7.2	Future Directions	131
A	Appendix: Chapter 2	134
A.0.1	Converging Evidence	134
A.0.2	Model Fitting Method	135
A.0.3	Parameter Recovery	136
A.0.4	Model Fit	136
A.0.5	RT Hazard Functions	139
B	Appendix: Chapter 3	141
B.0.1	Model Fitting Method	141
B.0.2	Model Fit Results	144
B.0.3	Parameter recovery check	146
C	Appendix: Chapter 4	148
C.0.1	REM-LD Predictions	148
C.0.2	Model Parameterization	149
C.0.3	Model Fitting Method	149
C.0.4	Model Recovery	151
	References	153

Abstract

In this thesis I argue that cognitive psychologists can use the combination of sequential sampling models, Bayesian estimation methods, and model comparison via predictive accuracy to investigate underlying cognitive processes of perceptual decision-making. I show that sequential sampling models of simple and choice response time allow for researchers to analyze behavioral data and translate them into the constituent components of processing, such as speed of processing, response caution, and the time needed for perceptual encoding and overt motor responses. I use these methods and models to investigate underlying mental processes related to cognitive load, speech perception, and lexical decision-making. I also show that using different sequential sampling models to analyze the same data can lead researchers to draw different conclusions about cognitive processes, which serves as a caution for carelessly using these models. I also present a novel method that researchers can use to observe cognitive processes unfold online during perceptual decision-making tasks. I then discuss a promising collaboration emerging between researchers in the field of mathematical modeling and neuroscience.

For Lucy and Willow